

81762

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD  
COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION  
TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS  
INTERIM REPORT  
SUMMARY

Page 1.

1. Change T/IV/R-1/ and the date 3 June 1965 to read:

T/IV/R-2

28 Sept. 1965

2. Change INTERIM REPORT to read FINAL REPORT

CHANGES ON TT IV Report

Trans Summary  
New Transmittal

Sub of Page Replacements for  
changes in Report.

INTERIM REPORT  
SUMMARY  
Page 2.

1., Para 3. Now reads:

"The Interim Report, together with....."

Change to read " The Final Report, together with....."

2. Para 4

Cancel the present wording, in toto, and substitute the following:

"On one point and one point alone, the Task Team was unable to reach unanimous agreement prior to submission of its Interim Report and this concerned the naming of ~~operational~~ missile sites. Subsequent to the submission of the Interim Report, due to the activities of the Task Team and the active participation of representatives of the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Photographic Interpretation Center, and appropriate elements of the Central Intelligence Agency, this matter was resolved to the satisfaction of all concerned. This was made possible by DIA's adoption of the NPIC system for naming of research and development missile sites and the adoption by CIA/NPIC of DIA's naming procedures for operational missile sites. This solution represents the initial fruits of the operations of Task Team IV."

TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS  
INTERIM REPORT

Page 1.

Now reads T/IV/R-1 3 June 1965

Change to read: T/IV/~~R-2~~ R-1/1

28 Sept. 1965

FINAL REPORT

Page 21

Add the following at the end of Para (b)

"Ultimately, as a result of a series of meetings, the last one of which was chaired by [REDACTED] agreement was reached on a single system covering both test sites and operational missile sites under which DIA agreed to adopt the use of an installation name with an alphabetic suffix for test sites (the so-called "NPIC system") and NPIC agreed ~~agreed~~ to adopt the use of an installation name with a numeric suffix (the so-called "DIA system") <sup>for operational sites.</sup> This solution was ~~ratified~~ <sup>then</sup>

*needed in*  
~~by~~ a memorandum of agreement between CIA and DIA."

Page 21

(c) Cancel ~~and~~ substitute the present (d), changing (d) to read (c)

TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Committee on Documentation

Page 1

T/IV/R-1 3 June 1965

Change to read T/IV/R-2

28 Sept. 1965

Page 1, Para 1

R-11

Change to read: "Final Report (T/IV-R-2) of Task Team IV - Installations  
is forwarded herewith."

Page 3

Paras 7, 8 and 9. Cancel and substitute the following:

7.

7. Informal Reactions: In accordance with the instructions of CODIB, the Task Team has canvassed the appropriate elements of the intelligence community for informal reactions to the Team's Interim Report. A summary of the informal reactions obtained was submitted to the CODIB Support Staff on 12 July 1965.

8. Points Raised by CODIB Chairman: Attachment 2 to CODIB-M-63 raised certain points with respect to the Interim Report. Written responses to all seven items were conveyed to the CODIB Support Staff on 16 July, 1965, later reproduced as Attachment A to CODIB-D-111/1.4/3 dated 31 August 1965.

25X1A 9. Mr. [REDACTED] <sup>Points Raised by CODIB Support Staff.</sup> Chief of the CODIB Support Staff, in his memorandum CS/6/MM-46, dated 22 June <sup>1965</sup> (Attachment 3 to CODIB-M-63) <sup>also</sup> furnished helpful comments. With respect to <sup>the</sup> suggestion that a standard country code be required as a fifth element for identification of installations and geographic features, the Task Team is in accord. It was agreed, however, as indicated in paragraph 10 of the Minutes of the 63rd Meeting, held by CODIB on 24 June, 1965, that the Task Team's report would go forward without having to get agreement on a country code. Additionally, as pointed out by the CODIB Support Staff, the problems of a country code system are undergoing resolution as part of the responsibility assigned to CODIB Task Team I. [REDACTED] <sup>A</sup> also called <sup>was also called</sup> attention to the fact that the <sup>or files</sup> ~~Interim~~ report does not identify the various records in the community that

should adopt standard identification elements, nor a time table  
for conversion to the recommended system. ~~It was suggested that~~ <sup>establish</sup> ~~██████████~~ recommended

25X1A

~~that CODIB request the Task Team to add a section to its report including~~

~~this information.~~ The Task Team does not believe it appropriate or even possible on a SECRET basis, <sup>invade agency autonomy and attempt to</sup> to identify all of the records which might

be affected in all of the agencies concerned. With respect to a time schedule, the Task Team <sup>concur with the recommendation</sup> ~~shares the conclusion of~~ the Central Intelligence Agency <sup>believe</sup> that it should be the responsibility of the individual agencies

concerned to establish time schedules for conversion (if any) of extant files or the application of any new community standards to newly established records. Additionally, time schedules will vary depending upon such

factors as available funds, file media currently employed, plans for new systems development, etc. <sup>It was</sup> ~~██████████~~ further recommended that CODIB

request the members of this Task Team to monitor conversions to assure its implementation in all agencies and to report periodically to CODIB on the progress of the conversion. The Task Team believes that monitorship of conversion and periodic reports to CODIB are more properly assignable to the Executive Agent, the appointment of which has been recommended by the Central Intelligence Agency.

10. Formal Coordination: Formal coordination of the ~~Interim~~ Report is <sup>under way</sup> ~~being undertaken~~ by CODIB. Formal reaction of the Central Intelligence Agency, contained in memorandum of 16 August, 1965 (Attachment B to

CODIB-D-111/1.4/3) is currently under study by the Defense Intelligence Agency. *(to CODIB through the DDA member.)* DIA views on the CIA paper will be presented to ~~you direct.~~

11. Thoughts of the Task Team with Respect to the CIA Paper: Although the

reactions of the Task Team to the CIA paper have not been specifically requested, the Task Team *affers the following comments for* believes that ~~some comment might not be~~

*whatever help they may be* inappropriate and perhaps ~~it~~ would be helpful to you. The Task Team is

of the opinion that the reservations expressed by CIA are very reasonable indeed in that they are designed to insure agency autonomy while providing for uniform procedures within the intelligence community for identification of installations in areas of common or overlapping interest and responsibility.

The ~~xxxxxx~~ conditions expressed by CIA, however, do not appear to be conducive to early *implementation* adoption of the recommendations of the Task Team.

CIA recommends that authority should be vested in DIA as a single Executive Agent and while *in general* appearing to support the recommendations

of the Task Team, states that CIA cannot permit itself to adopt the recommendations set forth until certain problems are addressed and resolved. The Task Team believes that none of the problems *(cited by CDA)* involved

is incapable of solution in a manner meeting the needs of the intelligence community, and it *believes* ~~concludes~~ that resolution of such problems and

the drafting of the terms and conditions of such an Executive Agency *can most effectively be discharged* by

direct negotiations between appropriate elements of CIA and DIA, under *over-ell* CODIB *monitorship* ~~control, is a more appropriate vehicle than to implement~~ embreil

*The Team believes that*  
~~the Task Team in this issue.~~ An appropriate agreement between CIA  
and ~~KK~~ DIA, ~~in the best interests of the intelligence community and~~ without  
detriment to the operational relationships between DIA and the U&S Commands,  
*can be reached*  
~~would be possible~~ while still meeting the legitimate requirements of the  
intelligence community. *Para 12.* The suggestion of CIA that an inter-agency  
committee be established, composed of analysts ~~xxx~~ at the working level,

*to* meet regularly with the Executive Agent to discuss matters of mutual  
concern, appears to the Task Team ~~as not designed to permit the~~ *be an inefficient*  
*(and costly mechanism)* ~~maximum of efficiency at the lowest possible cost.~~ *It would also contribute to still further*  
Although DIA abides *proliferation*  
by the suggestion of CIA that DIA be named as the Executive Agent and, *of committees*  
in spite of the fact that the recommended elements for identification *in the*  
are those in ~~current~~ use by DIA, the Task Team concludes that acceptance *intelligence*  
by DIA of the responsibilities of an Executive Agent would probably entail *community!*  
need for the resolution of a number of problems, *within DIA.* *A more effective -- ?*  
mechanism would be required for the assignment of identification numbers  
for installations. An expansion and/or re-formatting of the name field  
might become necessary. Additional positional accuracy data (actual CEP)

*for* ~~the~~ file might be required. The present functional or category classifica-  
tions may require expansion or revision. Support or acceptance of installa-  
tions in ~~any~~ *any* and all functional classifications might be entailed. Arrangements  
would probably have to be made for acceptance of intelligence data for the  
AIF under sensitive security controls. Arrangements would be required



for changes in standard codes <sup>to meet</sup> with the intelligence community while <sup>requirements</sup> preserving, at the same time, the special operational relationships between DIA and the Unified and Specified Commands. Additional resources would probably be required in support of the data base.

Given, however, the cooperation and good will of the agencies concerned, a workable agreement should be possible and, when completed and in <sup>this</sup> operation, should serve to enhance the resources and operations in this specialized field of the intelligence community as a whole.

<sup>3/</sup> 12. CIA-Recommended Follow-up Action: The various actions recommended <sup>and any subsequent implementation</sup> by CIA under this title <sup>in the judgment of the Task Team,</sup> more appropriately

assignable to CIA and DIA for direct negotiation rather than being assigned to the Task Team. <sup>(a) CODIB-monitored entity composed of representatives from a large number of agencies</sup>

13. The Task Team having concluded its assigned task of determining and

<sup>identifying</sup> the minimum number of elements required for <sup>active</sup> possible identification of installations, ~~xx~~ has completed its assigned <sup>(work)</sup> role. It is the desire of Task

Team IV's members ~~that~~ that they be permitted to retire from the field, with the team itself being retained on ~~xx~~ paper for a reasonable period

(not to exceed 6 months) to enable reactivation at any time should the views of the Team ~~Team~~ on interpretation of any portion of its <sup>F R</sup> final Report be

~~xxxxxx~~ required by CODIB, CIA, or DIA while engaged in ~~cover~~ converting the recommendations of the Task Team into <sup>effectively</sup> an officially functioning arrangements.

14. This memorandum of transmittal would be incomplete without recording the appreciation of the Task Team for the skilled services of the Secretary, Mr. [REDACTED] and the cheerful and competent secretarial services of Miss [REDACTED] of the CTRIB Support

Staff.  
1.